

January 24, 2025

To,

BSE Limited : Code No. – 544042

Department of Corporate Services, Phiroze Jeejeebhoy Towers, Dalal Street, Mumbai- 400001

National Stock Exchange of India Limited : BAJEL – Series: EQ

Listing Department Exchange Plaza, Bandra Kurla Complex, Bandra (East), Mumbai- 400 051

Dear Sir/Madam,

Sub: Disclosure under Regulation 30 of the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015, as amended ("SEBI Listing Regulations") by Bajel Projects Limited (the "Company")

This is with reference to intimation filed by the Company on February 10, 2024, disclosing the details of the litigation and further update on litigation February 15, 2024, in accordance with Regulation 30(4) and Clause 8 of paragraph B of Part A of Schedule III of the SEBI Listing Regulations of the SEBI Circular SEBI/HO/CFD/CFD-PoD-1/P/CIR/2023/123 dated July 13, 2023.

In addition to the above, we would like to inform you of further development on the litigation disclosed enclosed as **Annexure A** herewith with respect to litigation filed against the Company before the Bombay High Court.

We request you to take the above on record and treat the same as compliance under the applicable provisions of the SEBI Listing Regulations.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully, For Bajel Projects Limited

Ajay Nagle Executive Director, Company Secretary & Chief Compliance Officer

Encl.: As above.





Annexure A

Update on development of litigation.

At the time of becoming the party:		
(a)	Brief details of litigation viz. name(s) of	Name of the Parties: Mr. Sushil Kumar v/s Bajel
	the opposing party, court / tribunal /	Projects Limited (erstwhile Bajaj Electricals Limited)
	agency where litigation is filed, brief	
	details of dispute / litigation.	Brief Details of dispute/litigation: Mr. Sushil Kumar
		Rout on behalf of M/s. Aar Ess & Co. has filed a
		Commercial Arbitration Application under Section 11(5)
		and (6) of Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 for
		appointment of Arbitrator. Mr. Sushil is claiming that under Work Order dated 23.04.2018 and Amendment to
		Work Order dated 25.04.2018 and American to
		Rs. 1,21,10,458.20/- (Rs. 1,14,15,504.90/- towards work
		completed, Rs. 4,19,264.97/- towards unpaid GST and
		Rs. 2,75,688.356/- towards Extra Work) along with 18%
		interest totalling to Rs. 2 crores is accrued and payable
		to him.
		Court – Bombay High Court
		Case Stamp Number: CARAP/4749/2024
(b)	Expected financial implications, if any,	We currently have received a Commercial Arbitration
	due to compensation, penalty etc.	Application from Mr. Sushil for the appointment of
		Arbitrator. There is no financial implication at this stage.
(c)	quantum of claims, if any.	Rs. 2,00,00,000/- as claimed by Mr. Sushil, which is being
disputed. Regularly till the litigation is concluded or dispute is received.		
Regularly till the litigation is concluded or dispute is resolved: (a) The details of any change in the status The Section 11 application of Arbitration as		
(a)	and / or any development in relation to	The Section 11 application of Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 has been disposed off by the
	such proceedings.	Bombay High Court and Mr. Prateek Pansare has been
	such proceedings.	appointed as arbitrator for the dispute vide order which
		has been received on 23 rd January 2025.
(b)	In the case of litigation against key	Not applicable
	management personnel or its promoter	
	or ultimate person in control, regularly	
	provide details of any change in the	
	status and / or any development in	
	relation to such proceedings.	
(c)	In the event of settlement of the	Bombay High Court has appointed an arbitrator and
	proceedings, details of such settlement	directed the applicant Mr. Suhil Kumar Rout on behalf of
	including terms of the settlement,	M/s. Aar Ess & Co. to raise the dispute before the
	compensation/penalty paid (if any) and	arbitrator Mr. Prateek Pansare.
	impact of such settlement on the	Mattania dianggad off butha Dambar High County of the
	financial position of the listed entity.	Matter is disposed off by the Bombay High Court and the
		arbitration proceedings will follow.

